	STATUS OF SYNOPSIS EVALUATION (Re-submission) - LOT 04 - JUNE 2021 (MAPC)							
S	EE Code	Enrolment No.	Name of the Student	Programme- Course Code	Status of synopsis	Fresh / Resubmission	Evaluator's comments / suggestions	
1	EEMPCNT01	198081290	Rinosh Varghese Thomas	MAPC-MPCE36	Not Approved	Re-Submission	1. Please explain, how you would be using ANOVA? Type of ANOVA etc? 2. specify - variables as IV and DV 3. Are you intended to use both t - test and ANOVA? Provide rational of using both 4. Age range of participants in very wide 5. How random sampling will be used.? Provide randomization method and procedure 6. Citations are not provided in Introduction section 7. Refer Introduction and literature review section. Studies are not mentioned in the reference. 8. What is the research design? 9. Even in re-submission lot of methodological errors are seen. No improvement. Except change of title	
2	EEMPCNT01	198057993	Rucha Vishwanath Godbole	MAPC-MPCE26	Not Approved	Re-Submission	1. Please check the correctness of Title. (age 40-40)?. It was suggested in previous review. Still it is not changed. Follow APA guideline. 2. Plagiarism is seen in some section (copy & paste). Please correct it (for example https://www.verywellmind.com/what-is-self-esteem-2795868) - It was noted and conveyed, still there is no change. 3. The statistical technique is contradicting. There is no match between research design 4. Introduction- does not provide adequate background for carrying out study 5. Provide permission to use all these test in online mode to collected the data. These test are copyrighted? 6. Citations are not provided in Introduction section 7. Please follow APA Style of citations and Referencing.	
3	EEMPCNT01	198098129	Divisha Pankaj Bhayani	MAPC-MPCE26	Not Approved		1. Citations are missing in the Introduction section 2. Citations and references do not match. Please provide all references correctly. 3. Plagiarism is seen in even in new submission some section (copy & paste) for ex- Permission to conduct the study will be first taken from the Amity University, Mumbai. 4. Why the of 39 males and 61 females? 5. was used, were included in the study.??? 6. Provide permission to use all these test in online mode to collected the data. 7. What is the research design? 8. Plagiarism is seen 9. What are the levels of IV?	
4	EEMPCNT01	188435574	Sapna kiran Shah	MAPC-MPCE16	Not Approved	Re-Submission	Even in re-submission plagiarism is seen observe. No corrections. This type of plagiarized proposal can not be evaluated. Some penalty is to be decided by IGNOU.	